

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 RANGOO 02811 131403Z

43

ACTION EA-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-13 AID-05 DEAE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07

JUSE-00 NSAE-00 SNM-02 TRSE-00 USIA-06 NSC-05 OES-06

OMB-01 IGA-02 SS-15 L-03 DODE-00 H-02 /077 W

----- 115442

R 131020Z AUG 76

FM AMEMBASSY RANGOON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2120

C O N F I D E N T I A L RANGOON 2811

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: SNAR, BM

SUBJ: CHANNELING NARCOTICS AID THROUGH U.N.

REF: (A) STATE 197110, (B) U SHWE THAN-BULLINGTON MEMCON

1. WE BELIEVE U SHWE THAN'S SUGGESTION, THAT WE CONSIDER
GIVING AID ON NARCOTICS CONTROL THROUGH THE U. N., HAS CON-
SIDERABLE MERIT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

--THE GUB HAS DEMONSTRATED DURING THE PAST TWO YEARS
ITS GENUINE DETERMINATION TO SUPPRESS NARCOTICS PRODUCTION
AND TRAFFICKING, AND ITS EFFORTS HAVE BEGUN TO MAKE A
NOTICEABLE IMPACT ON THE AMOUNT OF OPIATES LEAVING BURMA
FOR INTERNATIONAL MARKETS. MOREOVER,

--IT HAS ALSO DEMONSTRATED EFFECTIVE USE OF THE
NARCOTICS-RELATED ASSISTANCE WE HAVE PROVIDED. BUT,

--THE BURMESE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN MOST UNCOMFORTABLE
WITH THIS BILATERAL AID RELATIONSHIP, WHICH IS GENERALLY
CONTRARY TO THE WHOLE THRUST OF THEIR STRICTLY NEUTRALIST
FOREIGN POLICY. ALSO,

--THEY HAVE NOW SIGNED A NARCOTICS ASSISTANCE
AGREEMENT WITH THE U.N., WHICH CAUSES THEM NO POLITICAL
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 RANGOO 02811 131403Z

MISGIVINGS SIMILAR TO THOSE CONNECTED WITH OUR BILATERAL

RELATIONSHIP, AND WE BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE NOW BEGINNING TO LOOK TOWARD THE U.N. EXCLUSIVELY FOR WHATEVER KIND OF NARCOTICS AID THEY MAY NEED. CONSEQUENTLY,

--EVEN THOUGH THEIR REQUIREMENTS FOR VARIOUS KINDS OF HELP IN THIS FIELD ARE GREAT AND WILL PROBABLY CONTINUE FOR SEVERAL YEARS, EXCEPT PERHAPS FOR HERBICIDE THEY ARE INCREASINGLY UNLIKELY TO ACCEPT BILATERAL NARCOTICS AID FROM U

F -- ACCEPTING THE HELICOPTERS AND OTHER ITEMS SHOULD PROBABLY BE VIEWED AS A TEMPORARY ABERRATION IN GUB POLICY, AT A TIME WHEN THE BURMESE HAD NO ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF LARGE-SCALE ASSISTANCE, RATHER THAN THE BEGINNING OF A LONG-TERM RELATIONSHIP ON NARCOTICS AID. MOREOVER,

--WE HAVE NO PARTICULAR INTEREST IN GIVING THIS AID BILATERALLY. IT EARNS US LITTLE IF ANY POLITICAL LEVERAGE OR OTHER ADVANTAGE NOT RELATED TO THE PRIMARY OBJECTIVE, I.E., IMPROVED GUB ABILITY TO SUPPRESS ILLICIT NARCOTICS. AID GIVEN THROUGH THE U.N. WOULD CONTRIBUTE AS WELL IF NOT BETTER TO THIS OBJECTIVE THAN DIRECT AID FROM US, AND IN ANY EVENT IT MAY WELL BE THE ONLY KIND OF AID THE GUB IN THE FUTURE WILL BE WILLING TO ACCEPT AND FINALLY,

--CONGRESS SEEMS TO BE MOVING IN THE DIRECTION OF INCREASINGLY PROVIDING ALL KINDS OF AID THROUGH MULTILATERAL RATHER THAN BILATERAL CHANNELS; AND GIVING NARCOTICS AID TO BURMA THROUGH THE U.N. MIGHT ELIMINATE OR AT LEAST REDUCE SOME OF THE DIFFICULTIES WE HAVE EXPERIENCED IN GETTING CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE PROGRAM.

2. FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF RANGOON, IT APPEARS TO US THAT AN IDEAL NARCOTICS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR BURMA WOULD BE ONE IN WHICH:

--THE GUB IDENTIFIES ITS NEEDS IN NARCOTICS SUPPRESSION AID AND SUBMITS A REQUEST TO THE U. N.;

--THE U.N. VERIFIES THE LEGITIMACY OF THE REQUEST
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 RANGOO 02811 131403Z

AND EITHER PROVIDES THE AID DIRECTLY, OR, IN THE EVENT IT DOES NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES TO DO SO,

--THE U.N. REQUESTS THE NECESSARY RESOURCES (MONEY, EQUIPMENT, TRAINING, ETC.) FROM US, AND, AFTER VERIFICATION THAT THE REQUEST HAS MERIT,

--WE GIVE THE RESOURCES TO THE U.N., WHICH THEN

GIVES THEM TO THE BURMESE.

--BOTH WE AND THE U.N. WOULD CONTINUE TO MONITOR
BURMESE PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZING THE AID.

3. THERE ARE OF COURSE PROBLEMS WITH THIS APPROACH, AND
MANY OF THEM MAY NOT BE APPARENT FROM RANGOON. FOR
EXAMPLE, IT COULD INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF TIME NECESSARY
TO RESPOND TO BURMESE REQUESTS, SINCE THERE WOULD BE AN
ADDITIONAL LAYER FOR THE AID TO PASS THROUGH; AND IT
MIGHT BE EVEN MORE DIFFICULT THAN AT PRESENT TO KEEP AID
PROCUREMENT W D DELIVERIES IN PROPER TEMPO WITH BURMESE
NEEDS AND CAPABILITIES. THERE MAY BE IMPORTANT CONSIDERA-
TIONS RELATED TO THE PRECEDENT THIS MIGHT BE VIEWED AS
SETTING FOR OTHER U.N. PROGRAMS ELSEWHERE. IT MIGHT BE
OBJECTED THAT WE WOULD LOSE CONTROL OVER THE AID AND THE
BURMESE COULD MISUSE IT. HOWEVER, WE WOULD REMAIN THE
ULTIMATE SOURCE OF MOST OF THE AID AND WOULD RETAIN
WHATEVER DEGREE OF CONTROL THAT FACT AFFORDS. OUR BEST
PROTECTION AGAINST MISUSE OF THE AID IS AND WILL REMAIN
ALERTNESS AND GOOD JUDGEMENT ON THE PART OF THIS EMBASSY,
PLUS THE GOOD FAITH DEMONSTRATED BY THE BURMESE THUS FAR.

4. WE HOPE THIS IDEA WILL BE GIVEN SERIOUS CONSIDERATION
AT AN APPROPRIATELY HIGH LEVEL IN THE DEPARTMENT. IF
DESIRED, WE COULD DISCUSS IT INFORMALLY WITH U.N. REPRESENTATIVES HERE.

5. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE WOULD NOT WISH TO JEOPARDIZE
THE ONGOING BILATERAL AID PROGRAM OR DELAY CONTEMPLATED
NEW ASSISTANCE (E.G., HERBICIDE) BY PREMATURE RELIANCE
ON AN UNTESTED IDEA. THEREFORE, WE RECOMMEND PRESSING
ON BILATERALLY AS BEST WE CAN UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 RANGOO 02811 131403Z

U.N. IDEA IS FULLY ACCEPTED BY ALL PARTIES AND PROCE-
DURAL DETAILS ARE WORKED OUT.
OSBORN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DRUG CONTROL, FOREIGN ASSISTANCE
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 13 AUG 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MartinML
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976RANGOO02811
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760312-0189
From: RANGOON
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760855/aaaabvvo.tel
Line Count: 157
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 STATE 197110
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MartinML
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 24 MAY 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24 MAY 2004 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <15 SEP 2004 by MartinML>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CHANNELING NARCOTICS AID THROUGH U.N.
TAGS: SNAR, EAID, BM, US
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006